

# Spectral theory and non-Normal operators

R. R. Rosales (MIT, Math. Dept., room 2-337, Cambridge, MA 02139)

March 14, 2017

## Contents

1	Non-Normal operators: some remarks	1
2	Example: $\mathcal{L} = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \mu(x)$ , $a < x < b$ , Dirichlet BC, $\mu$ complex valued	1
	This example uses Laplace Transforms and Green functions to obtain a spectral theorem . . . . .	1

## 1 Non-Normal operators: some remarks

There is no general theory that applies to (infinite dimensional) non-Normal operators. However, in some cases one can say something. Below we present a few examples.

Related stuff: [Laplace Transforms and Green's functions #01](#) in *Point sources and Green's functions* and [Normal modes #02](#) in *Separation of variables and normal modes*.

## 2 Example:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \mu(x), \quad a < x < b, \quad \text{Dirichlet BC, } \mu \text{ complex valued}$$

Here we consider the eigenvalue problem for the operator

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \mu(x), \quad a < x < b, \quad \text{with homogeneous Dirichlet BC,} \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\mu = \mu(x)$  is some complex valued function.<sup>1</sup> This operator is self-adjoint, with the standard scalar product, only when  $\mu$  is real valued. In general  $\mathcal{L}$  is not even normal, since (at least for  $\mu$  in  $C^2$ )

$$\mathcal{L}^\dagger = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \mu^*(x), \quad \implies \quad \mathcal{L} \mathcal{L}^\dagger - \mathcal{L}^\dagger \mathcal{L} = 4i \sigma' \frac{d}{dx} + 2i \sigma'', \quad (2.2)$$

where  $\dagger$  and  $*$  denote adjoints and conjugates,  $\sigma = \text{Im}(\mu)$ , and the primes denote derivatives with respect to  $x$ . On

the other hand  $\mathcal{L}$  is *symmetric* with respect to the form<sup>2</sup>

$$Q(f, g) = \int_a^b f(x) g(x) dx. \quad (2.3)$$

That is  $Q(\mathcal{L} f, g) = Q(f, \mathcal{L} g)$

Nevertheless a *spectral theorem*, in terms of *eigenfunctions and generalized eigenfunctions*, applies to this operator, as we show next. For this purpose we consider the pde initial value problem

$$u_t = u_{xx} - \mu u, \quad a < x < b \text{ and } t > 0, \quad \text{with } u(x, 0) = f(x) \quad (2.4)$$

and homogeneous Dirichlet BC. Then the Laplace Transform  $U = \int_0^\infty e^{-st} u dt$  satisfies

$$-U_{xx} + \mu U + sU = \mathcal{L}U + sU = f, \quad (2.5)$$

<sup>1</sup> Say,  $\mu$  is piecewise smooth. Note that  $L^2$  is probably enough.

<sup>2</sup> For real valued  $f$  and  $g$ ,  $Q$  is the standard scalar product. However,  $\mathcal{L}$  is not a real operator.

with  $U = 0$  at  $x = a, b$ . We write the *resolvent*  $R = (\mathcal{L} + s)^{-1}$  using the Green's function.<sup>3</sup> Namely

$$U(s, x) = \int_a^b G(x, y, s) f(y) dy \quad \text{where} \quad G = \frac{1}{W} \begin{cases} v_1(x, s) v_2(y, s) & \text{for } x \leq y, \\ v_1(y, s) v_2(x, s) & \text{for } x \geq y, \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

where

$$1. \quad v_1 = v_1(x, s) \text{ satisfies } -v_1'' + (\mu + s)v_1 = 0 \text{ with } v_1 = 0 \text{ and } v_1' = 1 \text{ at } x = a. \quad (2.7)$$

$$2. \quad v_2 = v_2(x, s) \text{ satisfies } -v_2'' + (\mu + s)v_2 = 0 \text{ with } v_2 = 0 \text{ and } v_2' = 1 \text{ at } x = b. \quad (2.8)$$

$$3. \quad W = W(s) = v_1' v_2 - v_1 v_2' \text{ is the Wronskian.}^4 \quad (2.9)$$

$$\text{Note: } \mathbf{W} = 0 \text{ if and only if } v_2 \propto v_1 \text{ and } \lambda = -s \text{ is an eigenvalue for } \mathcal{L}. \quad (2.10)$$

Furthermore (see remark 2.1)

$$4. \quad v_1 = v_1(x, s) \text{ is an entire function of } s. \text{ For } |s| \gg 1, \quad v_1 \sim \frac{\sinh(\sqrt{s}(x-a))}{\sqrt{s}}. \quad (2.11)$$

$$5. \quad v_2 = v_2(x, s) \text{ is an entire function of } s. \text{ For } |s| \gg 1, \quad v_2 \sim \frac{\sinh(\sqrt{s}(x-b))}{\sqrt{s}}. \quad (2.12)$$

$$6. \quad W \text{ is an entire function. For } |s| \gg 1, \quad W \sim -\frac{\sinh(\sqrt{s}(b-a))}{\sqrt{s}}. \quad (2.13)$$

$$7. \quad \text{For } |s| \gg 1, \quad G \sim \frac{-1}{\sqrt{s} \sinh(\sqrt{s}(b-a))} \begin{cases} \sinh(\sqrt{s}(x-a)) \sinh(\sqrt{s}(y-b)) & \text{for } x \leq y. \\ \sinh(\sqrt{s}(y-a)) \sinh(\sqrt{s}(x-b)) & \text{for } x \geq y. \end{cases} \quad (2.14)$$

From this last formula we see that  $G$  vanishes as  $|s| \rightarrow \infty$ , at least as fast as  $1/\sqrt{s}$ .

We now invoke the inverse Laplace Transform, which states that

$$u = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} U(s, x) e^{st} ds, \quad (2.15)$$

where  $\Gamma$  is a path in the complex plane of the form  $s = a + i\mu$ , with  $-\infty < \mu < \infty$  and  $a > 0$  large enough. From the results above we know that:

**A.  $U$  has only pole singularities, which correspond to the zeros of  $W$ . Let them be  $\{s_n\}$ .**<sup>5</sup>

**B.  $U$  vanishes for  $|s| \rightarrow \infty$ .**

Using these results we can “move” the path  $\Gamma$  to the left ( $a \rightarrow -\infty$ ). Then, every time the path crosses a pole of  $U$ , it picks up a contribution from the pole. In particular, if the pole is simple, the contribution is the residue of  $U e^{st}$  there. Thus (2.15) yields

$$u = \sum_n u_n(x, t), \quad (2.16)$$

where  $u_n$  is the contribution from the pole at  $s_n$ . However, **(2.16) is, generally, not a mode expansion. Generalized modes with time dependences of the form  $t^j e^{s_n t}$  can arise as well.**

**Remark 2.1** *The asymptotic estimates in (2.11 – 2.12) follow from WKBJ theory.*

*To see that  $v_1$  is an entire function of  $s$ , note that it can be written as the solution to the Volterra integral equation<sup>6</sup>*

$$v_1(x, s) = \frac{\sinh(\sqrt{s}(x-a))}{\sqrt{s}} + \int_a^x \frac{\sinh(\sqrt{s}(x-y))}{\sqrt{s}} \mu(y) v_1(y, s) dy, \quad (2.17)$$

*with a similar equation for  $v_2$  — note that  $S(\chi, s) = (1/\sqrt{s}) \sinh(\sqrt{s}\chi)$  is an entire function of  $s$ .*

<sup>3</sup> **By definition: the points  $\lambda = -s$  at which  $R$  is singular constitute the spectrum of  $\mathcal{L}$ .**

<sup>4</sup> It is easy to see that  $W' = 0$ , so that  $W$  depends on  $s$  only.

<sup>5</sup> Note that we know nothing about these zeros, other than there is a countable number of them, and they are isolated.

There cannot be a finite number, else  $W$  would be a polynomial, which (2.13) excludes.

<sup>6</sup> Differentiating (2.17) it is easy to show that its solution satisfies the problem for  $v_1$ .

We can write (at least formally)

$$v_1 = \sum_0^\infty I_n \quad (2.18)$$

where  $I_0(x, s) = S(x - a, s)$  and  $I_{n+1}(x, s) = \int_a^x S(x - y, s) \mu(y) I_n(y, s) dy$ . However, for  $n > 0$ ,

$$I_n = \int_{a < y_1 < y_2 < \dots < x} S(x - y_n) \mu(y_n) S(y_n - y_{n-1}) \mu(y_{n-1}) \dots S(y_2 - y_1) \mu(y_1) S(y_1 - a) d\vec{y}, \quad (2.19)$$

where, for simplicity, we have not displayed the argument  $s$ . Since the argument  $\xi$  for  $S$  is restricted to the range  $0 < \chi < b - a$ , it should be clear that: in any bounded region of the  $s$ -complex plane, there is a constant  $K$  such that  $|S| \leq K$ . Similarly, let

$M$  be a bound on  $\mu$ . Then (2.19) shows that

$$I_n \leq K^{n+1} M^n \frac{(b-a)^n}{n!}. \quad (2.20)$$

It follows that (2.18) converges uniformly in

any bounded region of the complex plane. Thus it solves (2.17), and it is entire (since each of the  $I_n$ 's is).

---

**THE END.**